

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 02-139 3046 10/057,546 01/25/2002 Alfred Ebbinghaus EXAMINER 11/21/2005 7590 Gregory P. LaPointe KUHNS, ALLAN R Bachman & LaPointe, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201 New Haven, CT 06510-2802 1732

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/057,546	EBBINGHAUS, ALFRED
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allan Kuhns	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 October 2005</u> .		
	action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	20011 Application (F 10-102)

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that (1) "Allan" on page 2, line 21 should be "Allen", (2) "it" on page 5, line 13 should be "its", and (3) "it's" on page 5, line 13 should be "its".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2.Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Note previous Office actions with respect to the insertion of "non-slotted" in claims 17 and 18 to describe the external formed part. In addition, the aspect in claim 17 that the prepreg body is subjected to "non-induction heating" lacks support in the disclosure as filed.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.Claims 17, 18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al. (3,087807). The relevant teachings of Allen et al. are as set forth in previous Office actions. While Allen et al. disclose at column 2, lines 49-61 that induction heating is preferred to activate gas-forming particles to form foam 21, it is submitted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use other forms of heating in order to activate a blowing agent such that a foam is formed,

Application/Control Number: 10/057,546

Art Unit: 1732

particularly since Allen et al. do not appear to indicate that the use of induction heating is critical.

5.Claims 18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiedler et al. (2,979,392) in view of Niebylski et al. (3,873,392) as set forth in previous Office actions.

6.Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that a fair reading of the instant disclosure in combination with a view of the drawings clearly indicates that the hollow metal part is non-slotted. The examiner disagrees. While the disclosure is generally silent with regard to slots, or the lack thereof, in the hollow metal part, it is noted by the examiner that the next-to –last paragraph of page 12 of the disclosure describes an external wall containing "molded grooves", thus implying that at least one preferred embodiment would require a hollow metal structure with grooves which are readable on slots.

Applicant also argues that if slots were present, the foamed prepreg would tend to undesirably leak from the slots. But this would only possibly occur if the slots were of an open type.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/057,546

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

11-15-05

alla R. Kel